

<https://x.com/DataRepublican/status/1918329845991510370>

DataRepublican (small r)

@DataRepublican

THREAD: GEORGE SOROS, THE MASTERMIND

Today's systems of NGOs isn't accidental - it was laid out in a vision 30 years ago by none other than George Soros.


I joined

@MikeBenzCyber

on a livestream last night, where he was kind enough to walk us through the basics.

As my bio says, I am just a tool builder. I am not a historian or academic. The information in this thread is common knowledge for many. It wasn't for me.

I want to walk you through an essay which Mike pointed me to- a chilling essay written in 1993 by George Soros, "Toward a New World Order: The Future of NATO"



The essay lays out a new mission for NATO after the cold war. NATO would no longer be a defensive alliance against Russia - that is obsolete. Instead, it would proactively go out and shape other countries into "open societies." "[*NATO's new*] *mission is best defined in terms of open and closed societies.*"

Soros re-defined peace and security not as absence of war, but in terms of how many countries are "open societies."

***"Peace and security... depend first and foremost on a successful transition to open society."***

In other words, NATO's new mission: if a country doesn't adopt Western-style capitalism and liberalism, NATO should step in... politically, economically, and

to internal political developments within states as much as to relationships between states, because peace and security in the region depend first and foremost on a successful transition to open society.

### **A REAL PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE**

The mission of this new kind of alliance is so radically different from the original mission of NATO that it cannot be entrusted to NATO itself. If it were, it would change NATO out of all recognition. A different kind of organization is needed, and the proposed Partnership For Peace could be that organization.

eventually, militarily.



What is an "Open Society?"

The term was coined by philosopher Karl Popper and expanded in this 1993 essay. Soros, of course, would go on to build a coalition of NGOs and interfere in the US Elections under his "Open Society Foundation" banner.

Here are the elements of an "Open Society" in theory:



Democracy



Free markets



Civil rights



Minority protections



Transparency



A "global" rules-based order

In practice, Open Society means something very different. Let's go through the essay.



**Open Society: a government which agrees with Soros, and thus deserves to exist.**

Soros Quote:

***"Open society is based on the recognition... that participants act on the basis of imperfect understanding. Closed society on its denial."***

Translation:

You're an open society if you accept our interpretation of pluralism and Western values. Otherwise, we'll label you "closed," even if your people elect their leaders or protect cultural traditions. And this gives us pretext to justify military

to internal political developments within states as much as to relationships between states, because peace and security in the region depend first and foremost on a successful transition to open society.

## A REAL PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE

The mission of this new kind of alliance is so radically different from the original mission of NATO that it cannot be entrusted to NATO itself. If it were, it would change NATO out of all recognition. A different kind of organization is needed, and the proposed Partnership For Peace could be that organization.

actions on you.



**Foreign aid is a pillar of Open Societies.**

Soros quote:

***"The primary need is for constructive engagement in the transition to democratic, market-oriented, open societies."***

Translation: We pour aid into countries that remake themselves in Soros' image. And no amount of money is too much to accomplish that- because, again, we have redefined "peace" to mean "as many countries follow the Open Society model as possible."

And if aid fails, then military intervention is next.

This is a great pity because the conflicts could be easily avoided if the real needs of the region were addressed. The primary need is for constructive engagement in the transition to democratic, market-oriented, open societies. This requires an association or alliance which goes far beyond military matters and contains a significant element of economic assistance. Both

Take a moment to think about this.

What do you think this means for anyone who is opposed to foreign aid?

They are agents of "closed societies."

They are a threat to national security.

Ergo...

They are a threat to democracy.

Sound familiar?



**Open Societies are ran by outside elites, not by a country's own citizens.**

Soros quote:

**"[Combatting closed societies] *involves the building of democratic states and open societies and embedding them in a structure which precludes certain kinds of behavior.*"**

This point is perhaps the most ironic one. A "Democracy" according to George Soros is not decided by its own citizens. Instead, NATO's new mission is to impose their own ideology on others and build countries which agree with Soros.



**Military intervention can transform a country into Open Society.**

Soros quote:

Closed societies based on nationalist principles constitute a threat to security because they need an enemy, either outside or within. But the threat is very different in character from the one NATO was constructed to confront, and a very different approach is required to combat this threat. It involves the building of democratic states and open societies and embedding them in a structure which precludes certain kinds of behavior. Only in case of failure does the prospect of military intervention arise. The constructive, open society building part of the mission is all the more important because the prospect of NATO members intervening militarily in this troubled part of the world is very remote. Bosnia is ample proof.

***“Only in case of failure does the prospect of military intervention arise.”***

Translation - if bribing a country with endless amount of foreign aid doesn't work to transform them from inside out, then NATO will intervene. And that's exactly what NATO did with Bosnia in 1994.